FILE COPY.

FILED
JAN 6 1903
JAMES H. McKENNEY,
Clerk.

Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1902.

No. 56.

GERTRUDE H. HARDIN ET AL., PLAINTIFFS IN ERROR,

vs.

CHARLES B. SHEDD.

MOTION TO DISMISS THE WRIT OF ERROR.

HARRY S. MECARTNEY,
Counsel for Defendant in Error.

(18,075.)

JUDD & DETWEILER, PRINTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C. 5436

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1902.

No. 56.

GERTRUDE H. HARDIN AND THE COOK COUNTY CANAL AND DOCK COMPANY, PLAINTIFFS IN ERROR,

vs.

CHARLES B. SHEDD, DEFENDANT IN ERROR.

IN ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

MOTION TO DISMISS THE WRIT OF ERROR.

And now comes the defendant in error, Charles B. Shedd, by Harry S. Mecartney, counsel, and moves this honorable court to dismiss the writ of error aforesaid, and in support of said motion assigns the following grounds:

1. No Federal question is displayed in the transcript of record herein or involved in the issues shown therein.

2. The right, title, privilege, or immunity now claimed by the plaintiff in error was not specially set up or claimed in the pleadings in the case or in the trial court, or preserved by assignments of error made herein in the supreme court of Illinois.

3. The issues involved herein are all matters of the local law of Illinois, in which the decision of the said supreme court of Illinois is final.

In support of the said grounds of this motion, the defendant in error refers to points numbered I, II, and V of the brief of defendant in error filed herein.

CHARLES B. SHEDD,
Defendant in Error,
By HARRY S. MECARTNEY,
Counsel.